ARTICLE IV

THE JUDICIARY

Section 1. The several sums of money herein specified, or so much thereby as may be necessary, are appropriated out of any funds in the State Treasury not otherwise appropriated, or out of special funds as indicated, for the support, maintenance, or improvement of the Judiciary and other judicial service units of the state.

SUPREME COURT OF TEXAS

		For the Years Ending			nding	
		August 31, 1996			August 31, 1997	
	Out of the General Revenue Fund:					
1.	Appellate Court Operations	\$	3,531,816	\$	3,285,866 & U.B.	
	Outcomes:					
	Disposition Rate (as a Percent of Total Cases) Outputs:		85%		85%	
	Number of Cases Disposed of by the Court Efficiencies:		1,780		1,790	
	Average Time (Days) from Submission to Release of an Opinion Explanatory:		225		225	
	Number of Cases Filed		1,620		1,660	
2.	Commission on Judicial Efficiency	<u>\$</u>	50,000	<u>\$</u>	50,000	
	Grand Total, SUPREME COURT OF TEXAS	<u>\$</u>	3,581,816	<u>\$</u>	3,335,866	
Nu	mber of Positions (FTE)		63.0		63.0	
Chi	nedule of Exempt Positions ef Justice, Group 4 tice, Group 4		\$97,470 (8) 94 686		\$97,470 (8) 94 686	
Chi			\$97,470 (8) 94,686		\$97,47 (8) 94,68	

- 1. National Center for State Courts. The sum of \$217,229 in Fiscal Year 1996 and \$230,263 in Fiscal Year 1997, out of Item 1., Appellate Court Operations, shall be paid to the National Center for State Courts. It is the intent of the Legislature that the Supreme Court utilize funds appropriated to provide full funding for the membership assessment on behalf of the Texas Judiciary during the 1996-97 biennium.
- 2. Commission on Judicial Efficiency. Out of the funds appropriated above in Item 2., Commission on Judicial Efficiency, the Supreme Court of Texas, by order of the Chief Justice, shall establish the Commission on Judicial Efficiency. The Commission shall compile and submit to the Seventy-fifth Legislature a report containing findings and recommendations regarding judicial selection, staff diversity within the court system, funding parity, and information technology. The Chief Justice shall consult with the National Center for State Courts, as well as the National Consortium of Task Forces and Commissions on

05-22-95

SUPREME COURT OF TEXAS

(Continued)

Racial and Ethnic Bias in the Courts, for the express purpose of securing guidance in the formulation, composition, and mandate of the Commission.

Funds appropriated for this purpose shall be expended for the acquisition of information technologies, salaries and wages, research, public hearings, professional fees and services, and the cost for reproduction of the Commission's final report. Funds for the Commission may not be expended for any other purpose, and the Commission shall expire on January 31, 1997.

COURT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS

		For the Year August 31, 1996		rs Ending August 31, 1997	
1.	Appellate Court Operations	\$	3,307,447	\$	3,096,164 & U.B.
	Outcomes: Disposition rate for PDR's which are granted Disposition rate for death penalty cases Outputs:		60% 70%		60% 70%
	Number of cases disposed		6,600		6,600
	Average time (days) from the time petitions for discretionary review are granted until disposition Average time (days) from the time filed to disposition in death		330		330
^	penalty cases	Φ.	1,000	Φ.	1,000
2.	Judicial Education Outcomes:	<u>\$</u>	4,027,500	<u>\$</u>	4,027,500
	Percent of Judges Trained in Judicial Education Courses		94%		94%
	Grand Total, COURT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS	\$	7,334,947	<u>\$</u>	7,123,664
Met	thod of Financing: General Revenue Fund Judicial and Court Personnel Training Fund No. 540	\$	3,484,947 3,850,000	\$	3,273,664 3,850,000
	Total, Method of Financing	<u>\$</u>	7,334,947	<u>\$</u>	7,123,664
Nui	mber of Positions (FTE)		65.0		65.0
Рте	nedule of Exempt Positions siding Judge, Group 4 ge, Group 4		\$97,470 (8) 94,686		\$97,470 (8) 94,686

COURT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS

(Continued)

1. Judicial Education.

- a. The Court of Criminal Appeals may assign to the Office of Court Administration or to any other agency of the Judicial Branch the necessary administrative and accounting functions for the Judicial and Court Personnel Training Fund appropriation included in this Act to be performed under the direction of the Court of Criminal Appeals in compliance with V.T.C.A., Government Code, Chapter 56. To implement this provision, the Comptroller is authorized to transfer the appropriation from the Court of Criminal Appeals to the Office of Court Administration, or to any other agency of the Judicial Branch, as directed by order of the Court of Criminal Appeals. Of the amount appropriated for Item No. 2, Judicial and Court Personnel Training, \$60,000 per year shall be expended for the continuing legal education of judges of county courts performing judicial functions.
- b. None of the funds appropriated above in Item 2., Judicial and Court Personnel Training, in excess of three percent of the appropriated amount in any fiscal year shall be expended for the administration of the judicial education function. For the purposes of this provision, the term administration shall include, but not be limited to, administrative oversight functions, accounting and auditing functions, management studies, performance audits, and other studies initiated by the Court of Criminal Appeals or the Office of Court Administration.
- c. Funds expended by either the Court of Criminal Appeals or the Office of Court Administration, out of the appropriation made above out of the Judicial and Court Personnel Training Fund, for the purpose of conducting management studies, performance audits, or other studies, shall be expended only in accordance with a competitive bidding process.
- 2. Limitation, Judicial and Court Personnel Training. Out of the funds appropriated above for Judicial and Court Personnel Training, \$1,000,000 in the first year of the biennium and \$1,000,000 in the second year of the biennium may be expended only to provide for the training and continuing legal education of the clerks and other court personnel of the appellate courts, district courts, county courts at law, county courts, justice courts, and municipal courts of this State in accordance with V.T.C.A., Government Code, Section 74.025.

3. Continuing Education and Technical Assistance for Prosecutors and Criminal Defense Attorneys.

- a. The Court of Criminal Appeals is authorized to contract with a statewide professional association of prosecuting attorneys whose purposes include providing continuing legal education courses, programs and technical assistance projects for prosecutors and prosecutor office personnel, provided, however, that such contract shall not exceed \$700,000 per fiscal year.
- b. The Court of Criminal Appeals is authorized to contract with a statewide professional association of criminal defense attorneys whose purposes include providing continuing legal education courses, programs and technical assistance projects for criminal defense attorneys who regularly represent indigent defendants in criminal matters, provided, however, that such contract shall not exceed \$200,000 per fiscal year.
- c. Funds may be expended pursuant to this provision only out of the appropriation made above out of the Judicial and Court Personnel Training Fund No. 540.

COURT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS

(Continued)

- 4. **Limitation, Judicial Education.** Out of the amounts appropriated above in item 2, Judicial Education, \$177,500 in each fiscal year may be expended only for the purpose of providing continuing judicial education courses, programs and technical assistance projects for judges of county courts performing judicial functions. The limitation imposed by this provision shall apply only to that portion of the identified appropriation funded out of the General Revenue Fund as identified in the Method of Financing.
- 5. Judicial Education: Reimbursement for Travel Expenses. Funds appropriated above in Item 2, Judicial Education, for the purposes established in Section 56.003(b) of the Government Code, may be granted only pursuant to a grant contract which provides for the reimbursement of expenses of judges pursuant to the provisions of Section 74.062 of the Government Code. This provision shall not apply to funds granted for the purpose of providing continuing legal education for judges of county courts performing judicial functions.
- 6. **Implementation of Senate Bill 128.** Out of funds appropriated above, \$88,000 in 1996 and \$88,000 in 1997 shall be allocated for the implementation of Senate Bill 128, if such Act should become law.
- 7. **Implementation of Senate Bill 1338.** Out of funds appropriated above, \$20,000 in 1996 and \$20,000 in 1997 shall be allocated for the implementation of Senate Bill 1338, if such Act should become law.
- 8. Implementation of House Bill 1551. Out of funds appropriated above, \$22,500 in 1996 and \$22,500 in 1997 shall be allocated for the implementation of House Bill 1551, if such Act should become law.

FIRST COURT OF APPEALS DISTRICT, HOUSTON

A			rs Ending August 31, 1997	
\$	2,010,368	\$	1,962,085 & U.B.	
	90.8%		90.8%	
	72.3%		72.3%	
	1,150		1,200	
	290		290	
	400		420	
	420		420	
		\$ 2,010,368 	\$ 2,010,368 \$ 90.8% 72.3% 1,150 290	

FIRST COURT OF APPEALS DISTRICT, HOUSTON (Continued)

Explanatory: Number of Civil Cases Filed Number of Criminal Cases Filed	550 750	550 750
Grand Total, FIRST COURT OF APPEALS DISTRICT, HOUSTON	\$ 2,010,368	\$ 1,962,085
Number of Positions (FTE)	40.0	40.0
Schedule of Exempt Positions Chief Justice, Group 4 Justice, Group 4	\$90,482 (8) 89,952	\$90,482 (8) 89,952

SECOND COURT OF APPEALS DISTRICT, FORT WORTH

	For the Year August 31, 1996			ding August 31, 1997
Out of the General Revenue Fund:				
1. Appellate Court Operations	\$	1,617,463	\$	1,593,656 & U.B.
Outcomes: Disposition Rate (as a Percent of Filings) Disposition Rate (as a Percent of Total Cases) Outputs:		100.9% 53.8%		100.9% 53.8%
Number of Cases Disposed		794		794
Efficiencies: Average Time (in Days) Between Filing and Disposition in Civil Cases Avg Time (days) Between Filing and Disposition in Criminal		224.3		224.3
Cases		396.7		396.7
Explanatory: Number of Civil Cases Filed Number of Criminal Cases Filed		300 547		300 547
Grand Total, SECOND COURT OF APPEALS DISTRICT, FORT WORTH	<u>\$</u>	1,617,463	<u>\$</u>	1,593,656
Number of Positions (FTE)		32.0		32.0
Schedule of Exempt Positions Chief Justice, Group 4 Justice, Group 4		\$90,482 (6) 89,952		\$90,482 (6) 89,952

THIRD COURT OF APPEALS DISTRICT, AUSTIN

		For the Years Ending			ding
		August 31, 1996			August 31, 1997
	Out of the General Revenue Fund:				
1.	Appellate Court Operations	\$	1,510,394	\$	1,430,709 & U.B.
	Outcomes:				
	Disposition Rate (as a Percent of Filings)		102%		102%
	Disposition Rate (as a Percent of Total Cases)		57.75%		57.75%
	Outputs:		750		750
	Number of Cases Disposed Efficiencies:		730		730
	Average Time (in Days) Between Filing and Disposition in Civil				
	Cases		240		240
	Average Time (days) Between Filing and Disposition in Criminal Cases		295		295
	Explanatory:				
	Number of Civil Cases Filed		390		392
	Number of Criminal Cases Filed		294		296
	Grand Total, THIRD COURT OF APPEALS				
	DISTRICT, AUSTIN	<u>\$</u>	1,510,394	<u>\$</u>	1,430,709
Nui	mber of Positions (FTE)		28.0		28.0
Chi	nedule of Exempt Positions ef Justice, Group 4 tice, Group 4		\$90,482 (5) 89,952		\$90,482 (5) 89,952

FOURTH COURT OF APPEALS DISTRICT, SAN ANTONIO

			For the Years Ending			
		A	ugust 31, 1996		August 31, 1997	
	Out of the General Revenue Fund:					
1.	Appellate Court Operations	\$	1,580,036	\$	1,556,265 & U.B.	
	Outcomes:					
	Disposition Rate (as a Percent of Filings)		95%		95%	
	Disposition Rate (as a Percent of Total Cases)		58%		58%	

FOURTH COURT OF APPEALS DISTRICT, SAN ANTONIO (Continued)

Outputs:		
Number of Cases Disposed	730	730
Efficiencies:		
Average Time (in Days) Between Filing and Disposition in Civil		
Cases	260	260
Average Time (days) Between Filing and Disposition in		
Criminal Cases	300	300
Explanatory:		
Number of Civil Cases Filed	500	550
Number of Criminal Cases Filed	450	500
Grand Total, FOURTH COURT OF APPEALS		
DISTRICT, SAN ANTONIO	<u>\$ 1,580,036</u>	<u>\$ 1,556,265</u>
Number of Positions (FTE)	31.0	31.0
Schedule of Exempt Positions		
Chief Justice, Group 4	\$90,482	\$90,482
Justice, Group 4	(6) 89,952	(6) 89,952
	* *	

FIFTH COURT OF APPEALS DISTRICT, DALLAS

		A	For the Yea august 31, 1996	rs Ending August 31, 1997	
	Out of the General Revenue Fund:				
1.	Appellate Court Operations	\$	2,876,810	\$	2,838,562 & U.B.
	Outcomes:				
	Disposition Rate (as a Percent of Filings)		88.5%		88.5%
	Disposition Rate (as a Percent of Total Cases)		46%		46%
	Outputs:				
	Number of Cases Disposed		1,735		1,770
	Efficiencies:				
	Average Time (in Days) Between Filing and Disposition in Civil		249		249
	Average Time (days) Between Filing and Disposition in		279		249
	Criminal Cases		499		499
	Explanatory:				
	Number of Civil Cases Filed		705		720
	Number of Criminal Cases Filed		1,370		1,395
	Grand Total, FIFTH COURT OF APPEALS				
	DISTRICT, DALLAS	\$	2,876,810	\$	2,838,562

FIFTH COURT OF APPEALS DISTRICT, DALLAS

(Continued)

Number of Positions (FTE)	53.0	53.0
Schedule of Exempt Positions Chief Justice, Group 4 Justice, Group 4	\$90,482 (12) 89,952	\$90,482 (12) 89,952

SIXTH COURT OF APPEALS DISTRICT, TEXARKANA

			For the Yea	-		
		August 31, 1996			August 31, 1997	
	Out of the General Revenue Fund:					
1.	Appellate Court Operations	\$	819,686	\$	767,007 <u>& U.B.</u>	
	Outcomes:					
	Disposition Rate (as a Percent of Filings)		81.8%		81.8%	
	Disposition Rate (as a Percent of Total Cases)		51.8%		51.8%	
	Outputs:		200		300	
	Number of Cases Disposed Efficiencies:		300		300	
	Average Time (in Days) Between Filing and Disposition in Civil					
	Cases		150		150	
	Average Time (days) Between Filing and Disposition in				100	
	Criminal Cases		225		225	
	Explanatory:					
	Number of Civil Cases Filed		85		85	
	Number of Criminal Cases Filed		95		95	
	Grand Total, SIXTH COURT OF APPEALS					
	DISTRICT, TEXARKANA	\$	819,686	<u>\$</u>	767,007	
Nu	mber of Positions (FTE)		15.5		15.5	
Ch	hedule of Exempt Positions ief Justice, Group 4 itice, Group 4		\$90,482 (2) 89,952		\$90,482 (2) 89,952	

SEVENTH COURT OF APPEALS DISTRICT, AMARILLO

		For the Year			rs Ending	
		August 31, 1996			August 31, 1997	
	Out of the General Revenue Fund:					
1.	Appellate Court Operations	\$	999,039	\$	924,687 & U.B.	
	Outcomes: Disposition Rate (as a Percent of Filings) Disposition Rate (as a Percent of Total Cases)		96% 60%		96% 60%	
	Outputs: Number of Cases Disposed Efficiencies:		449		449	
	Average Time (in Days) Between Filing and Disposition in Civil Cases Average Time (days) Between Filing and Disposition in		300		300	
	Criminal Cases Explanatory:		276		276	
	Number of Civil Cases Filed Number of Criminal Cases Filed		154 170		154 170	
	Grand Total, SEVENTH COURT OF APPEALS DISTRICT, AMARILLO	<u>\$</u>	999,039	<u>\$</u>	924,687	
Nui	mber of Positions (FTE)		20.0		20.0	
Chi	nedule of Exempt Positions ef Justice, Group 4 tice, Group 4		\$90,482 (3) 89,952		\$90,482 (3) 89,952	

EIGHTH COURT OF APPEALS DISTRICT, EL PASO

A	For the Years August 31, 1996		rs Ending August 31, 1997	
\$	1,091,069	\$	975,976 & U.B.	
	97.6% 51.5%		97.6% 51.5%	
		August 31, 1996 \$ 1,091,069 97.6%	\$ 1,091,069 \$ 	

EIGHTH COURT OF APPEALS DISTRICT, EL PASO

(Continued)

Outputs:		
Number of Cases Disposed	418	468
Efficiencies:		
Average Time (in Days) Between Filing and Disposition in civil cases	217	213
Average Time (days) Between Filing and Disposition in		
Criminal Cases	361	358
Explanatory:		
Number of Civil Cases Filed	221	213
Number of Criminal Cases Filed	244	245
Grand Total, EIGHTH COURT OF APPEALS DISTRICT, EL PASO	\$ 1,091,069	\$ 975,976
Number of Positions (FTE)	19.0	19.0
Schedule of Exempt Positions Chief Justice, Group 4	\$90,482	\$90,482
Justice, Group 4	(3) 89,952	(3) 89,952

NINTH COURT OF APPEALS DISTRICT, BEAUMONT

		For the Yea August 31, 1996		ars Ending August 31, 1997	
	Out of the General Revenue Fund:				
1.	Appellate Court Operations	\$	809,474	\$	772,669 & U.B.
	Outcomes:		····		<u> </u>
	Disposition Rate (as a Percent of Filings)		87.3%		87.3%
	Disposition Rate (as a Percent of Total Cases)		53.75%		53.75%
	Outputs:				
	Number of Cases Disposed		325		325
	Efficiencies:				
	Average Time (in Days) Between Filing and Disposition in Civil		200		200
	Cases Average Time (days) Retween Filing and Disposition in		290		290
	Average Time (days) Between Filing and Disposition in Criminal Cases		275		275
	Explanatory:				2.0
	Number of Civil Cases Filed		210		210
	Number of Criminal Cases Filed		220		220
	Grand Total, NINTH COURT OF APPEALS				
	DISTRICT, BEAUMONT	\$	809,474	\$	772,669

NINTH COURT OF APPEALS DISTRICT, BEAUMONT (Continued)

Number of Positions (FTE)	15.0	15.0
Schedule of Exempt Positions		
Chief Justice, Group 4	\$90,482	\$90,482
Justice, Group 4	(2) 89,952	(2) 89,952

TENTH COURT OF APPEALS DISTRICT, WACO

	For the Yea August 31, 1996		rs Ending August 31, 1997	
Out of the General Revenue Fund:				
1. Appellate Court Operations	\$	810,560	\$	784,117 <u>& U.B.</u>
Outcomes: Disposition Rate (as a Percent of Filings) Disposition Rate (as a Percent of Total Cases)		91.6% 62.8%		91.6% 62.8%
Outputs: Number of Cases Disposed Efficiencies: Average Time (in Days) Between Filing and Disposition in Civil		380		380
Cases Average Time (days) Between Filing and Disposition in		200		200
Criminal Cases Explanatory:		270		270
Number of Civil Cases Filed Number of Criminal Cases Filed		125 100		125 100
Grand Total, TENTH COURT OF APPEALS DISTRICT, WACO	<u>\$</u>	810,560	<u>\$</u>	784,117
Number of Positions (FTE)		15.0		15.0
Schedule of Exempt Positions Chief Justice, Group 4		\$00.492		¢00.482
Justice, Group 4		\$90,482 (2) 89,952		\$90,482 (2) 89,952

ELEVENTH COURT OF APPEALS DISTRICT, EASTLAND

		For the Years Ending			Ending	
		August 31, 1996		_	August 31, 1997	
	Out of the General Revenue Fund:					
1.	Appellate Court Operations	\$	808,368	\$	757,669 <u>& U.B.</u>	
	Outcomes:		_			
	Disposition Rate (as a Percent of Filings)		96%		95%	
	Disposition Rate (as a Percent of Total Cases)		59%		59%	
	Outputs: Number of Cases Disposed		310		310	
	Efficiencies:		310			
	Average Time (in Days) Between Filing and Disposition in Civil					
	Cases		190		190	
	Average Time (days) Between Filing and Disposition in		235		235	
	Criminal Cases Explanatory:		233		233	
	Number of Civil Cases Filed		75		75	
	Number of Criminal Cases Filed		80		80	
	Grand Total, ELEVENTH COURT OF APPEALS					
	DISTRICT, EASTLAND	<u>\$</u>	808,368	\$	757,669	
Nu	mber of Positions (FTE)		16.0		16.0	
Chi	nedule of Exempt Positions ief Justice, Group 4 tice, Group 4		\$90,482 (2) 89,952		\$90,482 (2) 89,952	

TWELFTH COURT OF APPEALS DISTRICT, TYLER

		Au	For the Year gust 31, 1996	Ending August 31, 1997	
	Out of the General Revenue Fund:				
1.	Appellate Court Operations	\$	785,749	\$ 757,286 & U.B.	
	Outcomes: Disposition Rate (as a Percent of Filings) Disposition Rate (as a Percent of Total Cases)		100% 55%	100% 55%	

TWELFTH COURT OF APPEALS DISTRICT, TYLER

(Continued)

Outputs: Number of Cases Disposed	300	300
Efficiencies:	500	500
Average Time (in Days) Between Filing and Disposition in Civil		
Cases	280	280
Average Time (days) Between Filing and Disposition in		
Criminal Cases	425	425
Explanatory:		
Number of Civil Cases Filed	200	200
Number of Criminal Cases Filed	175	175
Grand Total, TWELFTH COURT OF APPEALS DISTRICT, TYLER	\$ 785,749	\$ 757,286
Number of Positions (FTE)	14.0	14.0
Schedule of Exempt Positions Chief Justice, Group 4 Justice, Group 4	\$90,482 (2) 89,952	\$90,482 (2) 89,952
Table of Civap	(2) 67,732	(2) 05,552

THIRTEENTH COURT OF APPEALS DISTRICT, CORPUS CHRISTI

		For the Yea August 31, 1996		ars Ending August 31, 1997	
	Out of the General Revenue Fund:				
1.	Appellate Court Operations	\$	1,450,237	\$	1,380,152 & U.B.
	Outcomes:				
	Disposition Rate (as a Percent of Filings)		98%		98%
	Disposition Rate (as a Percent of Total Cases)		49.6%		49.6%
	Outputs:				
	Number of Cases Disposed		660		660
	Efficiencies:				
	Average Time (in Days) Between Filing and Disposition in Civil		200		200
	Cases		290		290
	Average Time (days) Between Filing and Disposition in Criminal Cases		335		335
	Explanatory:		555		333
	Number of Civil Cases Filed		330		330
	Number of Criminal Cases Filed		295		295
	Grand Total, THIRTEENTH COURT OF				
	APPEALS DISTRICT, CORPUS CHRISTI	\$	1,450,237	\$	1,380,152

THIRTEENTH COURT OF APPEALS DISTRICT, CORPUS CHRISTI (Continued)

Number of Positions (FTE)	27.0	27.0
Schedule of Exempt Positions		
Chief Justice, Group 4	\$90,482	\$90,482
Justice, Group 4	(5) 89,952	(5) 89,952

FOURTEENTH COURT OF APPEALS DISTRICT, HOUSTON

Dut of the General Revenue Fund: Appellate Court Operations			For the Year August 31, 1996		rs Ending August 31, 1997	
Outcomes: & U.B. Disposition Rate (as a Percent of Filings) 83% 83% Disposition Rate (as a Percent of Total Cases) 50% 50% Outputs:		Out of the General Revenue Fund:				
Disposition Rate (as a Percent of Filings) Disposition Rate (as a Percent of Total Cases) Disposition Rate (as a Percent of Total Cases) Outputs: Number of Cases Disposed Efficiencies: Average Time (in Days) Between Filing and Disposition in Civil Cases Average Time (days) Between Filing and Disposition in Civil Criminal Cases Average Time (days) Between Filing and Disposition in Criminal Cases Explanatory: Number of Civil Cases Filed S80 Number of Criminal Cases Filed S80 Number of Criminal Cases Filed S80 Number of Positions (FTE) Number of Positions (FTE) Schedule of Exempt Positions Chief Justice, Group 4 \$90,482	1.	Appellate Court Operations	\$	2,010,868	\$	
Disposition Rate (as a Percent of Total Cases) 50% 50%		Outcomes:				
Outputs: Number of Cases Disposed1,2001,300Efficiencies: Average Time (in Days) Between Filing and Disposition in Civil Cases Average Time (days) Between Filing and Disposition in Criminal Cases325300Average Time (days) Between Filing and Disposition in Criminal Cases415410Explanatory: 				83%		83%
Number of Cases Disposed Efficiencies: Average Time (in Days) Between Filing and Disposition in Civil Cases Average Time (days) Between Filing and Disposition in Civil Cases Average Time (days) Between Filing and Disposition in Criminal Cases Explanatory: Number of Civil Cases Filed Number of Criminal Cases Filed Number of Criminal Cases Filed Filed				50%		50%
Efficiencies: Average Time (in Days) Between Filing and Disposition in Civil Cases 325 300 Average Time (days) Between Filing and Disposition in Criminal Cases 415 410 Explanatory: Number of Civil Cases Filed 580 580 Number of Criminal Cases Filed 625 625 Grand Total, FOURTEENTH COURT OF APPEALS DISTRICT, HOUSTON \$2,010,868 \$1,962,583 Number of Positions (FTE) 39.0 39.0 Schedule of Exempt Positions Chief Justice, Group 4 \$90,482 \$90,482				1.000		
Average Time (in Days) Between Filing and Disposition in Civil Cases 325 300 Average Time (days) Between Filing and Disposition in Criminal Cases 415 410 Explanatory: Number of Civil Cases Filed 580 580 Number of Criminal Cases Filed 625 625 Grand Total, FOURTEENTH COURT OF APPEALS DISTRICT, HOUSTON \$2,010,868 \$1,962,583 Number of Positions (FTE) 39.0 39.0 Schedule of Exempt Positions Chief Justice, Group 4 \$90,482 \$90,482		•		1,200		1,300
Cases 325 300 Average Time (days) Between Filing and Disposition in Criminal Cases 415 410 Explanatory: 380 580 580 Number of Civil Cases Filed 580 580 Number of Criminal Cases Filed 625 625 Grand Total, FOURTEENTH COURT OF APPEALS DISTRICT, HOUSTON \$2,010,868 1,962,583 Number of Positions (FTE) 39.0 39.0 Schedule of Exempt Positions \$90,482 \$90,482						
Average Time (days) Between Filing and Disposition in Criminal Cases 415 410 Explanatory: Number of Civil Cases Filed 580 580 Number of Criminal Cases Filed 625 625 Grand Total, FOURTEENTH COURT OF APPEALS DISTRICT, HOUSTON \$ 2,010,868 \$ 1,962,583 Number of Positions (FTE) 39.0 39.0 Schedule of Exempt Positions Chief Justice, Group 4 \$90,482 \$90,482				325		300
Explanatory: Number of Civil Cases Filed 580 580 Number of Criminal Cases Filed 625 625 Grand Total, FOURTEENTH COURT OF APPEALS DISTRICT, HOUSTON \$ 2,010,868 \$ 1,962,583 Number of Positions (FTE) 39.0 39.0 Schedule of Exempt Positions Chief Justice, Group 4 \$90,482 \$90,482				040		200
Number of Civil Cases Filed 580 580 Number of Criminal Cases Filed 625 625 Grand Total, FOURTEENTH COURT OF APPEALS DISTRICT, HOUSTON \$ 2,010,868 \$ 1,962,583 Number of Positions (FTE) 39.0 39.0 Schedule of Exempt Positions Chief Justice, Group 4 \$90,482 \$90,482				415		410
Number of Criminal Cases Filed 625 625 Grand Total, FOURTEENTH COURT OF APPEALS DISTRICT, HOUSTON \$ 2,010,868 \$ 1,962,583 Number of Positions (FTE) 39.0 39.0 Schedule of Exempt Positions Chief Justice, Group 4 \$90,482 \$90,482						
Grand Total, FOURTEENTH COURT OF APPEALS DISTRICT, HOUSTON Substitute of Positions (FTE) Schedule of Exempt Positions Chief Justice, Group 4 Square 1,962,583 1,962,583 1,962,583 39.0 39.0 39.0						
APPEALS DISTRICT, HOUSTON \$ 2,010,868 \$ 1,962,583 Number of Positions (FTE) 39.0 39.0 Schedule of Exempt Positions \$ 90,482 \$ 90,482 Chief Justice, Group 4 \$ 90,482 \$ 90,482		Number of Criminal Cases Filed		625		625
APPEALS DISTRICT, HOUSTON \$ 2,010,868 \$ 1,962,583 Number of Positions (FTE) 39.0 39.0 Schedule of Exempt Positions \$ 90,482 \$ 90,482 Chief Justice, Group 4 \$ 90,482 \$ 90,482		Grand Total FOURTEENTH COURT OF				
Number of Positions (FTE) 39.0 Schedule of Exempt Positions Chief Justice, Group 4 \$90,482 \$90,482		•	\$	2.010.868	\$	1 962 583
Schedule of Exempt Positions Chief Justice, Group 4 \$90,482 \$90,482		74 1 2 123 313 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 1	Ψ		Ψ	1,202,303
Chief Justice, Group 4 \$90,482 \$90,482	Nur	nber of Positions (FTE)		39.0		39.0
Chief Justice, Group 4 \$90,482 \$90,482	Sch	edule of Exempt Positions				
				\$90.482		\$90.482
				(8) 89,952		(8) 89,952

OFFICE OF COURT ADMINISTRATION, TEXAS JUDICIAL COUNCIL

	For the Ye August 31,			Ars Ending August 31, 1997	
1.	Court Administration Outputs:	\$	843,420	\$	774,244
2.	Monthly activity reports processed Number of hours required for research projects Equalization of the Courts of Appeals Dockets	\$	22,500 100 35,000	\$	22,500 100 35,000
3.	Outputs: Number of cases transferred by the Supreme Court Assistance to the Administrative Judicial Regions Outputs:	\$	400 190,927	\$	& U.B. 400 191,347
4. 5.	Number of assignments of visiting judges by the presiding judges Child Support Enforcement Assistance Title IV-D Masters and Assistants Program	\$ \$	5,285 50,135 3,176,468	\$ \$	5,285 50,135 3,176,468
	Grand Total, OFFICE OF COURT ADMINISTRATION, TEXAS JUDICIAL COUNCIL	\$	4,295,950	<u>\$</u>	4,227,194
Met	hod of Financing: General Revenue Fund Criminal Justice Grants Appropriated Receipts Interagency Contracts	\$	944,382 100,000 2,100 3,249,468	\$	875,626 100,000 2,100 3,249,468
	Total, Method of Financing	<u>\$</u>	4,295,950	<u>\$</u>	4,227,194
Nur	nber of Positions (FTE)		83.5		83.5
	nedule of Exempt Positions ninistrative Director, Group 4		\$85,217		\$85,217

1. Capital Budget. None of the funds appropriated above may be expended for capital budget items except as listed below. The amounts shown below shall be expended only for the purposes shown and are not available for expenditure for other purposes. Amounts appropriated above and identified in this provision as appropriations either for "Lease payments to the Master Equipment Lease Purchase Program" or for items with an "(MELPP)" notation shall be expended only for the purpose of making lease-purchase payments to the Texas Public Finance Authority pursuant to the provisions of V.T.C.S., Art. 601d, Sec. 9A.

OFFICE OF COURT ADMINISTRATION, TEXAS JUDICIAL COUNCIL (Continued)

		1	996		1997
Ou	t of the General Revenue Fund:				
a.	Acquisition of Information Resource Technologies (1) Computer Equipment and Related Software	\$	68,890	<u>\$</u>	U.B.
	Total, Capital Budget	<u>\$</u>	68,890	\$	U.B.

2. Restriction, Assistance to the Administrative Judicial Regions. Funds appropriated in Item 3., Assistance to the Administrative Judicial Regions, may be used only for the payment of salaries of administrative assistants employed by the presiding judges of the Administrative Judicial Regions pursuant to Article 200a, V.A.C.S., as amended. The salaries paid the administrative assistants may be supplemented from sources other than appropriations provided by this Act.

OFFICE OF THE STATE PROSECUTING ATTORNEY

		For the Years Ending			
		August 31, 1996			August 31, 1997
	Out of the General Revenue Fund:				
1.	Representation of the State Before the Court of Criminal Appeals	<u>\$</u>	335,992	<u>\$</u>	333,292
	Outcomes: Motions for rehearing granted by the Court of Criminal Appeals		5		5
	Petitions for discretionary review granted by the Court of Criminal Appeals Outputs:		15		15
	Motions for rehearing filed in the Court of Criminal Appeals by the State Prosecuting Attorney		35		35
	Petitions for discretionary review filed by the State Prosecuting Attorney		40		40
	Grand Total, OFFICE OF THE STATE PROSECUTING ATTORNEY	<u>\$</u>	335,992	<u>\$</u>	333,292
Nu	mber of Positions (FTE)		5.0		5.0
	nedule of Exempt Positions te's Attorney, Group 3		\$82,209		\$82,209

STATE LAW LIBRARY

	For the Yea August 31, 1996	rs Ending August 31, 1997	
Administration and Operations Outputs: New titles catalogued Continuations logged	\$ 757,186 700 40,000	\$ 757,186 700 40,000	
Grand Total, STATE LAW LIBRARY	\$ 757,186	<u>\$ 757,186</u>	
Method of Financing: General Revenue Fund Appropriated Receipts Interagency Contracts Total, Method of Financing	\$ 702,186 43,200 11,800 \$ 757,186	\$ 702,186 43,200 11,800 \$ 757,186	
Number of Positions (FTE)	9.0	9.0	
Schedule of Exempt Positions Director, Group 1	\$40,026	\$40,026	

- 1. Computer-based Legal Research Services. The State Law Library is hereby authorized to enter into interagency contracts with other state agencies and courts for providing a computer based legal research service. The State Law Library shall charge an amount for this service equal to the cost to the library for providing this service.
- 2. Fee Schedule, Duplication Services. The State Law Library shall set a fee schedule for duplication services to the inmates of the Texas Department of Criminal Justice at the same amount per page as charged to the general public.
- 3. Use of Private Funds. Any gifts, donations and grants of private or federal funds made to the State Law Library are hereby authorized and appropriated to the State Law Library for the purposes specified by the donor. In the event that a purpose is not specified by the donor, such funds are hereby appropriated for the purpose of purchasing legal reference materials.
- 4. Billing for Services. The State Law Library is hereby authorized to assess a fee, within guidelines established by the General Services Commission, and bill users for photocopies sent by mail, express mail service or telefacsimile transmission. Bills must be issued at the time of transmission. The State Law Library is also authorized to assess a fee for other types of library services including, but not limited to, a fee for circulation of library materials. Fees collected pursuant to this provision are hereby appropriated to the State Law Library for the purpose of acquiring legal reference materials and services.
- 5. **Legal Reference Services.** Funds appropriated above may be expended to acquire access to "on-line" computerized legal resource services and other computerized legal reference sources including, but not limited to, CD-ROM materials.

STATE LAW LIBRARY

(Continued)

6. Limitation, Legal Reference Materials. None of the funds appropriated above may be expended for books or other legal reference materials for the Office of the Attorney General.

COURT REPORTERS CERTIFICATION BOARD

		For the Year August 31, 1996		ars Ending August 31, 1997	
	Out of the General Revenue Fund:				
1.	Examination and Certification	\$	91,000	<u>\$</u>	91,000
	Outcomes: Percent of complaints resolved resulting in disciplinary action Percent of licensees with no recent violations		18% 99%		18% 99%
	Outputs: Number of new licenses during the reporting period Number of licenses renewed Number of complaints received		170 1,100 10		170 1,800 10
	Number of complaints resolved Efficiencies:		9		9
	Average licensing cost for individual license Average time (Days) for complaint resolution		14 120		14 120
	Grand Total, COURT REPORTERS CERTIFICATION BOARD	<u>\$</u>	91,000	<u>\$</u>	91,000
Nui	mber of Positions (FTE)		2.5		2.5
	nedule of Exempt Positions cutive Secretary, Group 1		\$36,106		\$36,106

1. Appropriations Limited to Revenue Collections. It is the intent of the Legislature that fees, fines and other miscellaneous revenues as authorized and generated by the agency cover, at a minimum, the cost of the appropriations made including employee matching costs and any other direct operating costs. In the event that actual and/or projected revenue collections are insufficient to offset agency costs, the Legislative Budget Board may direct that the Comptroller of Public Accounts reduce the appropriation authority provided above to be within the amount of revenue expected to be available.

STATE COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

	For the Years Ending August 31, August 31,			_
		1996		August 31, 1997
Administration and Enforcement Outcomes:	\$	699,554	<u>\$</u>	690,793
Percent of complaints disposed Outputs:		98.75%		98.75%
Number of complaints filed Number of complaints disposed Efficiencies:		761 706		813 706
Average number of months required to resolve complaints		5.4		5.4
Grand Total, STATE COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT	\$	699,554	\$	690,793
Method of Financing:				
General Revenue Fund Criminal Justice Grants	\$ ——	601,858 97,696	\$ 	593,097 <u>97,696</u>
Total, Method of Financing	<u>\$</u>	699,554	\$	690,793
Number of Positions (FTE)		9.5		9.5
Schedule of Exempt Positions Executive Director, Group 3		\$82,209		\$82,209

- 1. **Unexpended Balances.** The State Commission on Judicial Conduct is hereby authorized to carry forward unexpended balances from fiscal year 1996 into fiscal year 1997 in an amount not to exceed \$50,000.
- 2. **Commission Member Training.** The Texas Commission on Judicial Conduct shall make arrangements with the Governor's office to provide training for newly appointed members of the Commission regarding responsibilities of office, matters of public policy, and ethics.

JUDICIARY SECTION, COMPTROLLER'S DEPARTMENT

	-	For the Year August 31, 1996			nding August 31, 1997
1.	Salaries for District Judges and Criminal District Judges of the existing three-hundred-eighty-seven (387) judicial district courts at \$85,217 (1996) and \$85,217 (1997); former district judges called to duty as visiting judges per V.T.C.A., Government Code, Section 74.061; and salaries of retired district judges assigned to special juvenile courts or domestic relations courts per				
2.	Government Code, Section 24.604(f); estimated to be Expenses of district judges while engaged in the actual performance of their duties whose judicial district is composed of more than one (1) county per Government	\$	35,040,486	\$	35,040,486
3.	Code, Section 24.019, not to exceed \$1,500 per county Special Judges salaries per Government Code, Section 32.302; per diem for active, retired, and former district judges and statutory county court of law judges serving on assignment and the per diem and expenses for active and retired justices and judges of the Supreme Court, Court of Criminal Appeals, or of a Court of Appeals when holding court out of their district or county when assigned per V.T.C.A., Government Code, Chapter 74;	\$	493,500	\$	493,500
4.	estimated to be Salaries of twenty-three (23) District Attorneys compensated on a salary basis by the State per Government Code, Chapter 43, at \$68,174 (1996) and	\$	302,000	\$	302,000
5.	\$68,174 (1997) Salaries of one hundred and nineteen (119) District Attorneys, Criminal District Attorneys and County Attorneys performing the duties of a district attorney that are prohibited from the private practice of law by Government Code, Section 46.002 and are compensated on a salary basis by the State at \$85,217 (1996) and	\$	1,567,989	\$	1,567,989
6.	\$85,217 (1997) Salary supplementation for two (2) Assistant District Attorneys of the Fifty-third Judicial District (Travis County) per Government Code, Section 43.132, at	\$	10,140,798	\$	10,140,798
7.	\$2,808 (1996) and \$2,808 (1997) Expenses of felony prosecutors while engaged in the actual performance of their duties whose prosecutorial district is composed of more than one (1) county per	\$	5,616	\$	5,616
8.	Government Code Section 43.004, not to exceed \$1,500 per county Salaries of two (2) Criminal District Attorneys per Government Code, Sections 44.143 (Collin) and 44.220 (Jackson); and two (2) County Attorneys performing the duties of a district attorney per Government Code, Section 45.154 (Crosby) and 45.175 (Fayette): all at	\$	267,000	\$	267,000
	\$68,174 (1996) and \$68,174 (1997)	\$	272,695	\$	272,695

JUDICIARY SECTION, COMPTROLLER'S DEPARTMENT

(Continued)

9.	For the payment of salaries of Assistant District Attorneys, investigators and/or secretarial help and expenses, including travel for these personnel as determined by the District Attorney, Criminal District Attorney and County Attorneys designated in Items No. 4., 5., 8., 11., and 12. Reimbursement shall be limited to expenses for supplies or items which would normally be consumed or utilized within the fiscal year for which the funds in this item are designated. Payments shall not exceed \$27,650 per district per year in multi-county districts and \$13,650 per district per year in single-county districts and \$27,650 for both multi-county and single-county districts for those District Attorneys, Criminal District Attorneys and County Attorneys performing the duties of a district attorney prohibited from the private practice of law per Government Code, Chapter 46; and shall be made available in three equal installments issued on the first day of September, January and May of each fiscal year. These payments shall be made directly to the District Attorney, Criminal District Attorney, or County Attorney for the purposes of disbursement as required by the attorney. The attorney receiving these payments shall be responsible to the Comptroller for accounting for all expenditures of			
	these funds.	\$	3,979,850	\$ 3,979,850
10.	Apportionment to fund the operation of the Public Integrity Unit in the District Attorney's Office of the Fifty-third Judicial District (Travis County) for the payment of salaries and other necessary expenses for the operation of the Public Integrity Unit to be used for the investigation and prosecution of motor fuel tax fraud cases; insurance fraud cases; offenses involving an elected or appointed official of state government or an officer or employee of an agency of the state; and offenses relating to state government with respect to which prosecution, jurisdiction and venue, by law, lie in			
	Travis County Outcomes: Motor Fuel Tax Restitution Recovered by the Comptroller's Office in Public Integrity Unit Cases During the Reporting	\$	1,656,342	\$ 1,676,193
	Period Insurance Fraud Restitution Recovered by the State Guaranty Fund or Victims in Public Integrity Unit Cases During the		100,000	100,000
	Reporting Period		100,000	100,000
	General Fraud Restitution Recovered in Public Integrity Unit		20.000	20.000
11.	in counties where there is a District Attorney per Government Code, Section 43.180 (Harris), and a Criminal District Attorney per Government Code, Section 44.157(f) (Dallas), not receiving a state salary,		20,000	20,000
	both pursuant to Government Code, Section 41.201;	•	106015	
	estimated to be	\$	186,840	\$ 186.840

\$

186,840 \$

186,840

estimated to be

JUDICIARY SECTION, COMPTROLLER'S DEPARTMENT (Continued)

 12. Salary apportionment payable to the Officer Salary Fund for a County Attorney performing the duties of a district attorney in Oldham County per Government Code, Section 45.280; estimated to be 13. Expenses of witnesses called in criminal proceedings who reside outside of the county where the trial is held 	\$	19,857	\$	19,857
pursuant to V.A.C.C.P., Articles 24.28 and 35.27; estimated to be 14. RETIRED JUDGES - REGIONS Provide payment to	\$	800,000	\$	800,000
retired JRS members who are assigned to administrative judicial regions as visiting judges. Estimated. 15. RETIRED JUDGES-APPELLATE Provide payment to	\$	4,728,100	\$	4,728,100
retired JRS members who are asigned to appellate courts as visiting judges. Estimated.	<u>\$</u>	438,300	<u>\$</u>	438,300
Grand Total, JUDICIARY SECTION, COMPTROLLER'S DEPARTMENT	<u>\$</u>	59,899,373	<u>\$</u>	59,919,224
Method of Financing: General Revenue Fund State Highway Fund No. 006 Judicial Fund No. 573 General Revenue - Consolidated (Insurance Taxes and Fees)	\$	46,403,031 668,332 12,000,000 828,010		46,403,031 688,183 12,000,000 828,010
Total, Method of Financing	<u>\$</u>	59,899,373	<u>\$</u>	59,919,224
Schedule of Exempt Positions District Judges and Criminal District Judges (Item 1.), Group 4 District Attorneys, Criminal District Attorneys, and	(387) \$85,217		(387) \$85,217
County Attorneys performing the duties of District Attorney (Items 4. and 8.), Group 3 District Attorneys, Criminal District Attorneys, and County Attorneys performing the duties of District Attorney, subject to Government Code, Chapter 46		(27) 68,174		(27) 68,174
(Item 5.), Group 4 Assistant District Attorneys, Fifty-third Judicial District		(119) 85,217		(119) 85,217
(Travis County) (Item 6.)		(2) 2,808		(2) 2,808

- 1. Appropriation Source. Pursuant to V.T.C.A., Government Code, Section 21.006, all revenues to, and fund balances in, the Judicial Fund No. 573 are hereby appropriated to the Judiciary Section, Comptroller's Department. In the event that revenues into Fund No. 573 during a fiscal year exceed the amount identified in the Method of Financing as being appropriated out of Fund No. 573 for that fiscal year the difference shall be appropriated to the Judiciary Section, Comptroller's Department and the General Revenue Fund appropriation for that fiscal year shall be reduced by a like amount.
- 2. Appropriation Source, Public Integrity Unit. Out of the funds appropriated above in Item 10., \$1,496,342 in fiscal year 1996 and \$1,516,193 in fiscal year 1997 is appropriated specifically to facilitate motor fuel tax fraud and insurance fraud investigations by the Public

JUDICIARY SECTION, COMPTROLLER'S DEPARTMENT (Continued)

Integrity Unit in the District Attorney's Office of the Fifty-third Judicial District. It is the intent of the Legislature that the funds specified in the Method of Financing as being appropriated out of the State Highway Fund No. 006 and the General Revenue Fund - Consolidated be allocated to the appropriation made above in Item 10. In no event shall the amount of general revenue funds allocated and/or granted to the Public Integrity Unit, other than the General Revenue Fund - Consolidated Grants identified in this provision, exceed \$160,000 in any fiscal year of the biennium. In no event shall any funds appropriated above out of the Judicial Fund No. 573 be allocated to the Public Integrity Unit.

3. Appropriation of Receipts, County Court Filing Fees and Court Costs. The Comptroller of Public Accounts is hereby appropriated all revenues remitted to the state pursuant to V.T.C.A., Government Code, Section 51.702(d), (Section 67, House Bill 66, Seventy-second Legislature, Regular Session), for the purpose of making payments to counties eligible for compensation pursuant to V.T.C.A., Government Code, Section 25.0015. The amount of payment made to an eligible county pursuant to this provision shall not exceed \$25,000 for each statutory county court judge in that county who meets the criteria established in V.T.C.A., Government Code, Section 25.0015(a).

In the event that the aggregate amount of revenue remitted to the state pursuant to Section 51.702(d) during a state fiscal year exceeds the aggregate amount of payments made to counties pursuant to Section 25.0015 for that fiscal year the excess amount is hereby appropriated to the Comptroller of Public Accounts for the purpose of remittance to counties pursuant to V.T.C.A., Government Code, Section 25.0016.

- 4. Contingency Appropriation, 385th District Court. Contingent upon preclearance through the U.S. Justice Department or the U.S. District Court for the District of Columbia, and pursuant to H.B. 171, Seventy-third Legislature, Regular Session, \$85,217 in each year of the biennium out of Item 1 above is appropriated to fund the salary of the district judge for the 385th District Court.
- 5. Salary Limitation, District Judges. Funds appropriated above in Item 1 shall be expended for the payment of the state salary for each district judge. However, in no event shall the aggregate, excluding any county supplement, of the state paid salary and any service retirement annuity paid pursuant to Government Code Chapter 834 exceed the base salary for any district court judge during any twelve month period. If a district judge draws a salary as a district judge and a retirement annuity pursuant to Government Code Chapter 834, and the combined amounts exceed the annual base salary for a district judge, the amount expended out of Item 1 for the state salary of that district judge shall be reduced by an amount which will bring the total amount received by the judge to the base salary paid a district judge for a twelve month period. This provision does not apply to either retired or former judges or justices called to duty as visiting judges pursuant to Government Code Chapters 74 and 75 and Section 24.604 of the Government Code.

Retirement and Group Insurance

	A	For the Yea ugust 31,	August 31, 1997		
A. Goal: EMPLOYEES RETIREMENT SYSTEM A.1.1. Strategy: RETIREMENT CONTRIBUTIONS Provide an actuarially sound level of funding as defined by state law; estimated	\$	1,873,334	\$	1,929,534	
A.1.2. Strategy: GROUP INSURANCE Provide a basic health care and life insurance program for general state employees; estimated	\$	3,794,497	\$	3,806,428	
A.1.3. Strategy: JUD RETIREMENT, PLAN 2 Maintain an actuarially sound retirement program for state judicial officers; estimated	\$	3,865,849	\$	3,943,166	
A.1.4. Strategy: JUD RETIREMENT, PLAN 1 Provide for the payment of JRS-1 Benefits as required by law; estimated	\$	14,071,100	\$	14,071,100	
A.1.5. Strategy: JRS-1 REFUNDS Provide for membership refunds under the Judicial Retirement Program, plan one; estimated	<u>\$</u>	99,000	<u>\$</u>	99,000	
Total, Goal A: EMPLOYEES RETIREMENT SYSTEM	\$	23,703,780	<u>\$</u>	23,849,228	
Grand Total, RETIREMENT AND GROUP INSURANCE	\$	23,703,780	<u>\$</u>	23,849,228	
Method of Financing: General Revenue Fund, estimated Other Special State Funds, estimated	\$	23,693,058 10,722	\$	23,838,369 10,859	
Total, Method of Financing	<u>\$</u>	23,703,780	\$	23,849,228	

Social Security

	For the Years Ending				
		August 31, 1996		August 31, 1997	
A. Goal: COMPTROLLER - SOCIAL SECURITY A.1.1. Strategy: STATE MATCH EMPLOYER Provide an employer match for Social Security contributions; estimated	\$	4,867,368	\$	5,013,389	

Social Security

(Continued)

A.1.2. Strategy: STATE MATCH EMPLOYEE Provide the state's contribution for the employee's share for Social Security; estimated	<u>\$</u>	1,254,112	\$	1,254,112
Total, Goal A: COMPTROLLER - SOCIAL SECURITY	<u>\$</u>	6,121,480	<u>\$</u>	6,267,501
Grand Total, SOCIAL SECURITY	<u>\$</u>	6,121,480	<u>\$</u>	6,267,501
Method of Financing: General Revenue Fund, estimated Other Special State Funds, estimated	\$	5,157,754 963,726	\$	5,278,384 989,117
Total, Method of Financing	<u>\$</u>	6,121,480	<u>\$</u>	6,267,501

Lease Payments

•	Au	For the Yeargust 31, 1996	ears Ending August 31, 1997		
Out of the General Revenue Fund:					
A. Goal: FINANCE CAPITAL PROJECTS To provide funding to the General Services Commission for payment to Texas Public Finance Authority for the payment of revenue bond debt service requirements. A.1.1. Strategy: LEASE PAYMENTS Make lease payments to the Texas Public Finance Authority on facilities financed through the Texas Public Finance Authority.	<u>\$</u>	2,002,650	\$	1,994,528	
Grand Total, LEASE PAYMENTS	\$	2,002,650	\$	1,994,528	

SPECIAL PROVISIONS - JUDICIARY

Sec. 2. Limitation, Salary Supplements. Salary Differential for District Court Judges and Justices of Courts of Appeals. District Court Judges may receive a combined yearly salary rate from state and county sources which does not exceed an amount that is One Thousand Dollars (\$1,000) less than the combined yearly salary rate from state and county sources received by Justices of the Courts of Appeals in whose district the District Court is located. Justices of the Courts of Appeals may receive a combined yearly salary rate from state and

SPECIAL PROVISIONS - JUDICIARY

(Continued)

county sources which does not exceed the amount that is One Thousand Dollars (\$1,000) less than the yearly salary provided in this Act for a Justice of the Supreme Court. In the case of Chief Justices of the Courts of Appeals, the differential shall be Five Hundred Dollars (\$500).

In order that the differential set out above be maintained, each District Court Judge and Justice of the Courts of Appeals shall file a sworn statement with the Comptroller of Public Accounts setting forth the yearly amounts to be received from state and county as salary payments during the ensuing fiscal year. Amended statements shall be filed setting forth any change in the salary rate which occurs during a fiscal year.

For the purpose of salary payments by the state, the Comptroller of Public Accounts shall determine from the filed statements that the required salary differential set out above is maintained. In the event a salary is in excess of the One Thousand Dollars (\$1,000) differential, the Comptroller is directed to reduce the state's portion of that salary by the amount of excess, except in the case of the Chief Justices in the Courts of Appeals where the differential shall be Five Hundred Dollars (\$500).

The appropriations for salaries of District Court Judges and Justices of Courts of Appeals are made contingent upon strict compliance with the provisions of this section and the Comptroller shall have no authority to issue a warrant for salaries which violate these provisions.

- Sec. 3. Public Integrity Unit. In Item 10., Judiciary Section, Comptroller's Department, warrants shall be drawn as necessary to reimburse the District Attorney of the 53rd Judicial District for salary and expenses previously incurred. The District Attorney shall submit a voucher containing an itemized statement of expenses to substantiate each claim. This appropriation shall be allocated in three equal installments over the fiscal year. Any unencumbered, unexpended balance existing in a previous allocation period may be used in a subsequent period provided that period occurs within the same fiscal year.
- Sec. 4. Restriction, New District Courts. No new district court may be funded until it has been approved by the commissioner's court of the county or a majority of the counties in that district.
- Sec. 5. **Efficient Use of Resources.** The Courts of Appeals shall investigate and implement the most cost effective method of sending notices to the attorneys and parties in matters pending before court.
- Sec. 6. Transferability. In the event the Chief Justice of the Supreme Court finds that a disparity exists between the workloads of the various courts of appeals, as determined on a per judge basis, the Chief Justice of the Supreme Court is hereby authorized to direct the Comptroller of Public Accounts to transfer appropriations between courts of appeals if the Chief Justice of the Supreme Court has obtained the written consent and approval of the Chief Justice of the court from which funds shall be transferred. The Comptroller of Public Accounts is hereby authorized to carry out the transfer. In no event shall the total appropriation transferred exceed five percent of a courts' appropriation for that fiscal year. In no event shall any transfer be made prior to the third quarter of the fiscal year. For purposes of this provision, the term "workload" may include the total cases disposed by the court, the number of cases filed in the court (adjusting for the number of cases transferred in or out), or other relevant performance measure.

RECAPITULATION - ARTICLE IV THE JUDICIARY (General Revenue)

	For the Years Ending			
		August 31, 1996		August 31, 1997
Supreme Court of Texas	\$	3,581,816	\$	3,335,866
Court of Criminal Appeals		3,484,947		3,273,664
Contingency Appropriations Total		1,000,000 4,484,947	_	1,000,000 4,273,664
First Court of Appeals District, Houston		2,010,368		1,962,085
Second Court of Appeals District, Fort Worth		1,617,463		1,593,656
Third Court of Appeals District, Austin		1,510,394		1,430,709
Fourth Court of Appeals District, San Antonio		1,580,036		1,556,265
Fifth Court of Appeals District, Dallas		2,876,810		2,838,562
Sixth Court of Appeals District, Texarkana		819,686		767,007
Seventh Court of Appeals District, Amarillo		999,039		924,687
Eighth Court of Appeals District, El Paso		1,091,069		975,976
Ninth Court of Appeals District, Beaumont		809,474		772,669
Tenth Court of Appeals District, Waco		810,560		784 ,11 7
Eleventh Court of Appeals District, Eastland		808,368		757,669
Twelfth Court of Appeals District, Tyler		785,749		757,286
Thirteenth Court of Appeals District, Corpus Christi		1,450,237		1,380,152
Fourteenth Court of Appeals District, Houston		2,010,868		1,962,583
Office of Court Administration, Texas Judicial Council		944,382		875,626
State Prosecuting Attorney, Office of the		335,992		333,292
State Law Library		702,186		702,186
Court Reporters Certification Board		91,000		91,000
Commission on Judicial Conduct		601,858		593,097
Judiciary Section, Comptroller's Department		46,403,031		46,403,031
Subtotal, Judiciary	<u>\$</u>	76,325,333	<u>\$</u>	75,071,185
Retirement and Group Insurance		23,693,058		23,838,369
Social Security		5,157,754	_	5,278,384
Subtotal, Employee Benefits	<u>\$</u>	28,850,812	<u>\$</u>	29,116,753
Lease Payments		2,002,650		1,994,528
TOTAL, ARTICLE IV - The Judiciary	<u>\$</u>	107,178,795	\$	106,182,466

RECAPITULATION - ARTICLE IV THE JUDICIARY (General Revenue - Consolidated)

	For the Ye August 31, 1996	ears Ending August 31, 1997	
Supreme Court of Texas Court of Criminal Appeals First Court of Appeals District, Houston Second Court of Appeals District, Fort Worth Third Court of Appeals District, Austin Fourth Court of Appeals District, San Antonio Fifth Court of Appeals District, Dallas Sixth Court of Appeals District, Texarkana Seventh Court of Appeals District, Amarillo Eighth Court of Appeals District, El Paso Ninth Court of Appeals District, Beaumont Tenth Court of Appeals District, Waco Eleventh Court of Appeals District, Eastland Twelfth Court of Appeals District, Tyler Thirteenth Court of Appeals District, Corpus Christi Fourteenth Court of Appeals District, Houston Office of Court Administration, Texas Judicial Council State Prosecuting Attorney, Office of the State Law Library Court Reporters Certification Board Commission on Judicial Conduct Judiciary Section, Comptroller's Department	\$ 828,010	\$28,010	
Subtotal, Judiciary	\$ 828,010	\$ 828,010	
Retirement and Group Insurance Social Security			
Subtotal, Employee Benefits	\$	\$	
Lease Payments			
TOTAL, ARTICLE IV - The Judiciary	\$ 828,010	<u>\$ 828,010</u>	

RECAPITULATION - ARTICLE IV THE JUDICIARY (Federal Funds)

	For the	For the Years Ending		
	August 31, 1996	August 31, 1997		
Supreme Court of Texas Court of Criminal Appeals First Court of Appeals District, Houston Second Court of Appeals District, Fort Worth Third Court of Appeals District, Austin Fourth Court of Appeals District, San Antonio Fifth Court of Appeals District, Dallas Sixth Court of Appeals District, Texarkana Seventh Court of Appeals District, Amarillo Eighth Court of Appeals District, El Paso Ninth Court of Appeals District, Beaumont Tenth Court of Appeals District, Waco Eleventh Court of Appeals District, Eastland Twelfth Court of Appeals District, Tyler Thirteenth Court of Appeals District, Corpus Christi Fourteenth Court of Appeals District, Houston Office of Court Administration, Texas Judicial Council State Prosecuting Attorney, Office of the State Law Library Court Reporters Certification Board Commission on Judicial Conduct Judiciary Section, Comptroller's Department	\$	\$		
Subtotal, Judiciary	\$	\$		
Retirement and Group Insurance Social Security		- 4		
Subtotal, Employee Benefits	<u>\$</u>	. \$		
Lease Payments				
TOTAL, ARTICLE IV - The Judiciary	\$	\$		

RECAPITULATION - ARTICLE IV THE JUDICIARY (Other Funds)

	For the Ye August 31, 1996		ears Ending August 31, 1997	
Supreme Court of Texas Court of Criminal Appeals First Court of Appeals District, Houston Second Court of Appeals District, Fort Worth Third Court of Appeals District, Austin Fourth Court of Appeals District, San Antonio Fifth Court of Appeals District, Dallas Sixth Court of Appeals District, Texarkana Seventh Court of Appeals District, Amarillo Eighth Court of Appeals District, El Paso Ninth Court of Appeals District, Beaumont Tenth Court of Appeals District, Waco Eleventh Court of Appeals District, Eastland Twelfth Court of Appeals District, Tyler Thirteenth Court of Appeals District, Corpus Christi	\$	3,850,000	\$	3,850,000
Fourteenth Court of Appeals District, Houston Office of Court Administration, Texas Judicial Council		3,351,568		3,351,568
State Prosecuting Attorney, Office of the State Law Library		55,000		55,000
Court Reporters Certification Board Commission on Judicial Conduct Judiciary Section, Comptroller's Department		97,696 12,668,332		97,696 12,688,183
Subtotal, Judiciary	\$	20,022,596	<u>\$</u>	20,042,447
Retirement and Group Insurance Social Security		10,722 963,726		10,859 989,117
Subtotal, Employee Benefits	\$	974,448	<u>\$</u>	999,976
Lease Payments				
TOTAL, ARTICLE IV - The Judiciary	\$	20,997,044	<u>\$</u>	21,042,423

RECAPITULATION - ARTICLE IV THE JUDICIARY (All Funds)

	For the Years Ending		
	August 31, 1996	August 31, 1997	
Supreme Court of Texas	\$ 3,581,81	6 \$ 3,335,866	
Court of Criminal Appeals	7,334,94	7,123,664	
Contingency Appropriations	1,000,00		
Total	8,334,94		
First Court of Appeals District, Houston	2,010,36	1,962,085	
Second Court of Appeals District, Fort Worth	1,617,46		
Third Court of Appeals District, Austin	1,510,39		
Fourth Court of Appeals District, San Antonio	1,580,03		
Fifth Court of Appeals District, Dallas	2,876,81		
Sixth Court of Appeals District, Texarkana	819,68		
Seventh Court of Appeals District, Amarillo	999,03		
Eighth Court of Appeals District, El Paso	1,091,06		
Ninth Court of Appeals District, Beaumont	809,47		
Tenth Court of Appeals District, Waco	810,56	•	
Eleventh Court of Appeals District, Eastland	808,36		
Twelfth Court of Appeals District, Tyler	785,74		
Thirteenth Court of Appeals District, Corpus Christi	1,450,23		
Fourteenth Court of Appeals District, Houston	2,010,86	1,962,583	
Office of Court Administration, Texas Judicial Council	4,295,95	0 4,227,194	
State Prosecuting Attorney, Office of the	335,99		
State Law Library	757,18	6 757,186	
Court Reporters Certification Board	91,00		
Commission on Judicial Conduct	699,55	4 690,793	
Judiciary Section, Comptroller's Department	59,899,37	59,919,224	
Subtotal, Judiciary	\$ 97,175,93	9 \$ 95,941,642	
Retirement and Group Insurance	23,703,78	0 23,849,228	
Social Security	6,121,48		
Subtotal, Employee Benefits	\$ 29,825,26	0 \$ 30,116,729	
Lease Payments	2,002,65	01,994,528	
TOTAL, ARTICLE IV - The Judiciary	\$ 129,003,84	9 \$ 128,052,899	
Number of Positions (FTE)	602.	0 602.0	